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Nursery Management of rice root knot nematode Meloidogyne graminicola
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Department of Nematology, Centre for Plant Protection Studies, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore-641 003, Tamil Nadu, India.

Meloidogyne graminicola Golden et Birchfield, a nematode pest of rice causes serious damage in nurseries, upland rice and rainfed lowland rice (Prot et al., 1994). The nematode infestation was noticed for the first time in Tamil Nadu in rice nurseries of Cauvery delta areas during the year 2000. The nematode is also found to cocur subsequently in the main field of irrigated rice in other parts of Tamil Nadu as reported earlier by Prot (1994) from Phillipines (Unpubl. Data). Considering the yield losses due to this nematode attempts were made for the management of nematode using pesticides (Krishna Prasad and Rao, 1976a and 1976b), vegetable oil (Prasad, botanicals and Goswamy and Vijayalaksjimi, 1981) through identifying nematode resistant varieties (Kalita and Phukan, 1995). So far very limited work has been made on the biological control of M. graminicola. Therefore the present study has been carried out to evaluate the biocontrol potential of Pseudomonas fluorescens in comparison with neem cake and currently recommended chemical
Table 1. Nursery management of rice root knot nematode *Meloidogyne graminicola*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Shoot wt. (g)</th>
<th>Shoot length (cm)</th>
<th>Root wt. (g)</th>
<th>Root length (cm)</th>
<th>Root pop./g root</th>
<th>No. of eggs/g root</th>
<th>Gall index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seed treatment with Pseudomonas fluorescens @ 10 g/kg seed (15 x 10⁸ cfu/g)</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>20.64</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>6.75</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>806.8</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursery soil application with Pseudomonas fluorescens</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>21.51</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>7.53</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>589.3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neem cake @ 1 ton/ha</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>21.88</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>7.18</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>662.5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phorate 10 G1 kg a.i./ha</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>23.06</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>7.03</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>595.3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbofuran 3 G @ 1 kg a.i./ha</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>21.24</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>7.65</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>506.3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>21.07</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>5.76</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>908.3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD (P=0.05)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>5.38</td>
<td>65.82</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Pooled analyses of two seasons data. Figures in parentheses are per cent increase / decrease over control.
nematicides in order to combat the nematode problem in an ecofriendly manner.

Experiments were carried out on rice varieties ADT 38 and CO.47 with a plot size of 1 m² in two different nematode sick fields during samba season (July-November). Paddy seeds were surface sterilized with mercuric chloride at 0.05% followed by treatment. The treated seeds were sown through broadcasting @ 750 g/m after applying the test bioagent of *P. fluorescens*, neem cake and chemical nematicides of carbofuran 3G and phorate 10G (Table 1). All the treatments were replicated four times in Randomized Block Design.

The experiments were terminated 30 days after sowing. The biometric observations were made on representative plant samples of 25 seedlings drawn randomly per plot. Besides observations on root gall index (Taylor and Sasser, 1987) root nematode population and the number of eggs/g root (Prasad et al., 2002) were also recorded. The observations recorded were pooled and analysed statistically.

It is evident from the table 1 all the treatments *viz.*, *P. fluorescens*, neem cake, phorate 10 G and carbofuran 3 G were effective for the management of rice root knot nematode *M. graminicola* compared to untreated although none of them had effect for of the complete eradication of the nematode.

Among the chemical nematicides carbofuran 3G @ 1 kg a.i./ha ranked first by reducing the root gall index (3) shoot enhanced the weight (8.19) and root length (24.71). The effect of this treatment was comparable with soil application of *P. fluorescens* @ 2.5 kg/ha and followed by phorate (1 kg a.i./ha) and *P. fluorescens* as seed treatment (10 g/kg) in the management of rice root knot nematode.

Several researchers proved the effect of the chemical nematicide carbofuran 3 G and phorate 10 G in the management of wide array of nematodes in different crops for the past five decades particularly against *M. graminicola* using these chemicals as foliar spray at 500 and 1000 ppm (Krishna Prasad and Rao, 1984). The results of the present study was also fall in line with the report of effectiveness of *P. fluorescens* against *H. gracilis* in rice (Ramakrishnan et al., 1988) and *M. incognita* in tomato (Santhi and Sivakumar, 1995). Further, the use of neem cake @ 1 ton/ha was also reported to be effective but the degree of nematode control was less than the effect of carbofuran 3G (1.5 kg/ha) in the management of *M. graminicola* by Debanand Das et al. (1999).

From the present study it was concluded that the in soil application of carbofuran 3G (1 kg a.i./ha) was comparable with the effect of biopesticide *P. fluorescens* (2.5 kg/ha) for the management of rice root knot nematode *M. graminicola*.
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**Vegetative Propagation of Annatto (Bixa orellana) (Linn.)**
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Improvement of forest species through breeding is rather difficult because of their long generation times, prevalence of out breeding and operational difficulties (Paramathma et al., 2000). Therefore, the genetic gains in forestry achieved by tree breeding including hybridization have been rather few (Tewari, 1994). Vegetative propagation is one of the